Uniform distribution of generalized Kakutani's sequences of partitions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

Who?Markus HoferFrom?TU Vienna / TU GrazWhen?July 2012

# Definition

Kakutani's sequence of partitions If  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and  $\pi = \{[t_{i-1}, t_i] : 1 \le i \le k\}$  is any partition of [0,1], then  $\alpha \pi$  denotes its  $\alpha$  -refinement which is obtained by subdividing all intervals of  $\pi$  having maximal length in two parts, proportional to  $\alpha$  and  $1 - \alpha$ , respectively. The so-called Kakutani's sequence of partitions  $(\alpha^n \omega)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is obtained as the successive  $\alpha$  -refinement of

the trivial partition  $\omega = \{[0, 1]\}.$ 

# Kakutani splitting with $\alpha = 1/2$



# Kakutani splitting with $\alpha = 1/3$



# Definition

Let  $(\pi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of partitions of [0, 1], with

$$\pi_n = \{ [t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] : 1 \le i \le k(n) \}.$$

Then  $\pi_n$  is uniformly distributed (u.d.), if for any continuous function f on [0, 1]

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{k(n)}\sum_{i=1}^{k(n)}f(t_i^n)=\int_0^1f(t)dt.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Uniform distribution of sequences of partitions

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k(n)}\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(t_i^n)}{k(n)}=b-a.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

... and equivalently ...

# Important former results

- Kakutani (1976):  $(\alpha^n \omega)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is u.d. for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .
- Brennan and Durrett (1986) und van Zwet (1978): modification of (α<sup>n</sup>ω)<sub>n∈N</sub> where the intervals of maximal length are split at a random position.
- Carbone and Volčič (2007): multi-dimensional case.
- Volčič (2011): (ρ<sup>n</sup>ω)<sub>n∈ℕ</sub> is u.d., where ρ is an arbitrary finite partition of [0, 1].
   Kakutani splitting: ρ = [0, α], [α, 1].
- Volčič (2011), Carbone (2011) and Drmota and Infusino (2011): discrepancy of partitions and related point sequences.

#### Question

# Let $\pi$ be an arbitrary finite partition of [0, 1]. Is $(\rho^n \pi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ u.d. ?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

QuestionLet  $\pi$  be an arbitrary finite partition of [0, 1]. Is<br/> $(\rho^n \pi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  u.d. ?AnswerNo! Choose for example:  $\pi = \left\{ \left[0, \frac{2}{5}\right], \left[\frac{2}{5}, 1\right] \right\}$  and  $\rho = \left\{ \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \right\}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

Bad case

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left\{ \left[0, \frac{2}{5}\right], \left[\frac{2}{5}, 1\right] \right\} \\ \rho &= \left\{ \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$



# Induced measures



#### Open problem

#### Volčič (2011):

What are sufficient conditions on  $\pi$  to obtain uniform distribution of  $(\rho^n \pi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ?

# Definition

Rationally related

The numbers  $\log\left(\frac{1}{p_1}\right), \ldots, \log\left(\frac{1}{p_m}\right)$  are called rationally related if there exists a positive real number  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\operatorname{og}\left(rac{1}{p_{j}}
ight)=
u_{j}\Lambda,\quad
u_{j}\in\mathbb{Z},j=1,\ldots,m.$$

If the numbers  $\log\left(\frac{1}{p_1}\right), \ldots, \log\left(\frac{1}{p_m}\right)$  are not rationally related, they are called irrationally related.

#### Remark

Note that the numbers  $\log\left(\frac{1}{p_1}\right), \ldots, \log\left(\frac{1}{p_m}\right)$  are rationally related if and only if all fractions

$$\frac{\log p_i}{\log p_j}, \quad i,j=1,\ldots,m,$$

are rational, i.e.,

$$p_i^{c_{ij}}=p_j^{d_{ij}},\quad i,j=1,\ldots,m,\ c_{ij},d_{ij}\in\mathbb{N}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Theorem (Aistleitner, H.)

1

2

Let  $\alpha_j, j = 1, \ldots, l$  denote the lengths of the intervals of the starting partition  $\pi$  and let  $p_i, i = 1, \ldots, m$  be the length of the intervals in  $\rho$ . Then the sequence  $(\rho^n \pi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

the real numbers  $\log\left(\frac{1}{p_1}\right), \ldots, \log\left(\frac{1}{p_m}\right)$  are irrationally related or

the real numbers  $\log\left(\frac{1}{p_1}\right), \ldots, \log\left(\frac{1}{p_m}\right)$  are rationally related with parameter  $\Lambda$  and the lengths of the intervals of  $\pi$  can be written in the form

$$\alpha_i = c e^{v_i \Lambda}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^+, v_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for i = 1, ..., I.

### Remark

Note that if

$$p_i^{c_{ij}} = p_j^{d_{ij}}, \quad i,j = 1, \dots, m, \,\, c_{ij}, d_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$$

then the number of intervals which are split at the *k*-th step increases. "Worst case":  $c_{ij} = 1$ ,  $d_{ij} = 1$ .

# Nice case

$$\pi = \{[0, 1/3], [1/3, 1]\}$$
$$\rho = \{[0, 1/2], [1/2, 1]\}$$



# About the proof ...

- We show that the induced measure converges to the Lebesgue measure under the above conditions and that in all other cases we can at least find two different limit measures.
- A central incredient is a result of Drmota and Infusino (2011). They give an asymptotic formula for the number of intervals when all intervals with length greater than  $\epsilon$  have been split and  $\pi = \omega$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

# Corollary Let the sequence of partitions $(\rho^n \pi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be defined as a $\rho$ -refinement with $\rho = [0, p], [p, 1]$ and $\pi = [0, \alpha], [\alpha, 1]$ . Then $(\rho^n \pi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is u.d. if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

log(p)/log(1 − p) is irrational, or
 log (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>p</sub>) and log (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>1−p</sub>) are rationally related with parameter Λ and α = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>e<sup>k</sup>Λ+1</sub> for k ∈ Z.

#### Theorem (Aistleitner, H.)

Assume that neither condition 1 nor condition 2 is satisfied. Then for any interval  $A = [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  which is completely contained in the *i*-th interval of the starting partition  $\pi$  for some  $i, 1 \le i \le l$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k(n)} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(t_j^n)}{k(n)} = c_1(b-a),$$
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k(n)} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(t_j^n)}{k(n)} = c_2(b-a),$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are explicit constants depending on *i*.