

Additive structures in multiplicative subgroups

I. D. Shkredov

Steklov Mathematical Institute

Multiplicative subgroups

- p be a prime number, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be a multiplicative subgroup.
- $t = |\Gamma|$, t divides $p - 1$, $n = \frac{p-1}{t}$.

Structure

$$\Gamma = \{1, g^n, g^{2n}, \dots, g^{(t-1)n}\},$$

where g is a primitive root, i.e.

$$\mathbb{F}_p^* = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\} = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{p-2}\}.$$

$$\Gamma = \{x^n : x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^* : x^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Uniform distribution

Definition

Γ is *uniformly distributed* if for any $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and any segment Δ , $|\Delta| = \delta p$ the following holds

$$|\Gamma \cap \Delta| = \frac{|\Gamma|}{p-1} \cdot |\Delta| + o_\delta(p), \quad p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here $\frac{|\Gamma|}{p-1}$ is the density of Γ in \mathbb{F}_p^* .

If $t = |\Gamma| \geq p^{1/4+\varepsilon}$ then Γ is uniformly distributed (Konyagin).

Small subgroups

Theorem (Bourgain–Glibichuk–Konyagin, 2006)

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If

$$|\Gamma| \geq p^\varepsilon \quad (\text{or } |\Gamma| \geq p^{\frac{C}{\log \log p}})$$

then Γ is uniformly distributed.

Sum–product phenomenon

For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, $|A| \leq p^{1-\delta}$, we have

$$\max\{|A \cdot A|, |A + A|\} \geq c_1 |A|^{1+\varepsilon},$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$.

Additive properties of subgroups : sumsets

If Γ is a random set, $|\Gamma| \leq \sqrt{p}$ then

$$|\Gamma \pm \Gamma| \geq |\Gamma|^{2-\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Theorem (Garcia–Voloch, 1988)

Suppose that $|\Gamma| = O(p^{3/4})$. Then

$$|\Gamma \pm \Gamma| \geq c_1 |\Gamma|^{4/3}.$$

Theorem (Heath–Brown and Konyagin, 2000)

Suppose that $|\Gamma| = O(p^{2/3})$. Then

$$|\Gamma \pm \Gamma| \geq c_2 |\Gamma|^{3/2}.$$

Theorem (Shkredov–Vyugin, 2010)

Suppose that $|\Gamma| = O(p^{1/2})$. Then

$$|\Gamma - \Gamma| \geq c_3 \frac{|\Gamma|^{5/3}}{\log^{1/2} |\Gamma|}.$$

For subgroups $|\Gamma| > p^{1/2}$ there are better results (the same method) **Schoen–Shkredov, 2010**. Applications to sum–product problems in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} .

Additive properties of subgroups : expanding property

Theorem (Schoen–Shkredov, 2011)

Let $A \subseteq \Gamma$ be an arbitrary set, and $|\Gamma| = O(p^{2/3})$. Then

$$|A + \Gamma| \geq |A| \cdot \frac{|\Gamma|^3}{E(\Gamma)} \geq c|A||\Gamma|^{1/2}, \quad c > 0.$$

More generally, for any sets $A^{(x)} \subseteq \Gamma$, $x \in B$, $B \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\left| \bigcup_{x \in B} (x + A^{(x)}) \right| \geq \frac{|\Gamma|}{|B|E(\Gamma)} \cdot \left(\sum_{x \in B} |A^{(x)}| \right)^2$$

Theorem (Shkredov–Vyugin, 2011)

Let $P \subseteq \Gamma$ be an arbitrary *progression*, and $|\Gamma| = O(p^{2/3})$.

Then

$$|\Gamma + P| \geq c|\Gamma||P|^{1-o(1)}, \quad c > 0.$$

Higher dimensional generalizations.
Certainly, the result is best possible.

Additive properties of subgroups : intersections

Theorem (Garcia–Voloch, 1988)

If

$$|\Gamma| < \frac{p-1}{(p-1)^{1/4} + 1}$$

then for any nonzero s

$$|\Gamma \cap (\Gamma + s)| \leq 4|\Gamma|^{2/3}.$$

We generalize the result. Our generalization has found some cryptographic applications (Bourgain–Garaev–Konyagin–Shparlinski, 2011–2012).

Theorem (Shkredov–Vyugin, 2010)

Let $k \geq 2$, and $s_1, \dots, s_{k-1} \neq 0$ be different residuals. Suppose that

$$1 \ll_k |\Gamma| \ll_k p^{1-\beta_k}.$$

Then

$$|\Gamma \cap (\Gamma + s_1) \cap \dots \cap (\Gamma + s_{k-1})| \ll |\Gamma|^{1/2+\alpha_k},$$

where $\alpha_k, \beta_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For $\Gamma =$ quadratic residuals
changing RHS to p^ε , $\varepsilon > 0$ implies Vinogradov conjecture.

About the proof

Theorem (Shkredov–Vyugin, 2010, again)

Let $k \geq 1$, and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \neq 0$ be different residuals. Suppose that

$$1 \ll_k |\Gamma| \ll_k p^{1-\beta_k}.$$

Then

$$|\Gamma \cap (\Gamma + \mu_1) \cap \dots \cap (\Gamma + \mu_k)| \ll |\Gamma|^{1/2+\alpha_k},$$

where $\alpha_k, \beta_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof : Stepanov's method.

We want to estimate the cardinality of the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \Gamma \cap (\Gamma + \mu_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\Gamma + \mu_k).$$

Construct a polynomial Ψ s.t.

- Ψ is nonzero.
- $\Psi(x)$ has root of order D at any $x \in \mathcal{E}$.

Then

$$|\mathcal{E}| \leq \frac{\deg \Psi}{D}.$$

We know that

$$\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^* : x^t = 1\}, \quad t = |\Gamma|. \quad (1)$$

Put

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{\vec{a}=(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k)} \lambda_{\vec{a}} x^{a_0 t} (x - \mu_1)^{a_1 t} \dots (x - \mu_k)^{a_k t}.$$

By (1) we know that

$$x^{a_0 t} = (x - \mu_1)^{a_1 t} = \dots = (x - \mu_k)^{a_k t} = 1$$

at any $x \in \mathcal{E} = \Gamma \cap (\Gamma + \mu_1) \cap \dots \cap (\Gamma + \mu_k)$.

Thus $\Psi(x)$ has small degree.

Further for any $n < D$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [X(X-\mu_1) \dots (X-\mu_k)]^n \left(\frac{d}{dX} \right)^n X^{a_0 t} (X-\mu_1)^{a_1 t} \dots (X-\mu_k)^{a_k t} \Big|_{X=x} \\
 & = P_{n, \vec{a}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{E}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

- Any $P_{n, \vec{a}}(x)$ has small degree.
- Coefficients of $P_{n, \vec{a}}(x)$ are linear forms on $\lambda_{\vec{a}}$.

Taking large number of $\lambda_{\vec{a}}$ we make all $P_{n, \vec{a}}(x)$ identically zero.

Why $\Psi(x)$ is nonzero? Recall that

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{\vec{a}} \lambda_{\vec{a}} x^{a_0 t} (x - \mu_1)^{a_1 t} \dots (x - \mu_k)^{a_k t}.$$

If $\Psi(x) = 0$ then there is a polynomial

$$\sum_{\vec{b}} \lambda_{\vec{b}} c_{\vec{b}} x^{b_0 t} (x - \mu_1)^{b_1 t} \dots (x - \mu_{k-1})^{b_{k-1} t}$$

which is divided by $(x - \mu_k)^t$.

In the case of small k one can apply the unicity theorem in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

We use more quantitative method.

Put

$$\Phi_i(x) = x^{b_{0,i}t} (x - \mu_1)^{b_{1,i}t} \dots (x - \mu_{k-1})^{b_{k-1,i}t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

and consider Wronskian

$$W(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_l) = \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_1(x) & \Phi_2(x) & \dots & \Phi_l(x) \\ \Phi_1'(x) & \Phi_2'(x) & \dots & \Phi_l'(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi_1^{(l-1)}(x) & \Phi_2^{(l-1)}(x) & \dots & \Phi_l^{(l-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

If a linear combination of $\Phi_{\bar{a}}(x)$ is divided by $(x - \mu_k)^t$ then $W(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_l)$ is divided by $(x - \mu_k)^{t-(l-1)}$.

Lemma 1

Suppose that $W(\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_l(x)) = 0$ and $\deg W < p$. Then $\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_l(x)$ are linearly dependent.

Lemma 2

Suppose that $W(\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_l(x)) = 0$. Then $\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_l(x)$ are linearly dependent provided by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \deg \Phi_j \leq Cp, \quad C > 0.$$

If

$$\Phi_i(x) = x^{b_{0,i}t} (x - \mu_1)^{b_{1,i}t} \dots (x - \mu_{k-1})^{b_{k-1,i}t}$$

$$W(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_l) = \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_1(x) & \Phi_2(x) & \dots & \Phi_l(x) \\ \Phi_1'(x) & \Phi_2'(x) & \dots & \Phi_l'(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi_1^{(l-1)}(x) & \Phi_2^{(l-1)}(x) & \dots & \Phi_l^{(l-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

then $W(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_l)$ is divided by

$$\Psi_s(x) = (x - \alpha_s)^{(t \sum_{i=1}^l b_{k,i}) - \frac{1}{2}l(l-1)}, \quad s = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Comparing the degrees of $W(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_l)$ and

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k-1} \psi_s(x),$$

we obtain a contradiction.

Thus

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{\vec{a}} \lambda_{\vec{a}} x^{a_0 t} (x - \mu_1)^{a_1 t} \dots (x - \mu_k)^{a_k t}.$$

is nonzero provided that not all $\lambda_{\vec{a}}$ are zero.

Work in progress ...

Theorem (Shkredov–Vyugin, 2012–??, work in progress)

$$|\Gamma \cap (\Gamma + s_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\Gamma + s_{k-1})| \ll_k |\Gamma|^{\gamma_k},$$

where $\gamma_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For any $|\Gamma| = O(\sqrt{p})$

$$|\Gamma \pm \Gamma| \geq c|\Gamma|^{2-\varepsilon}, \quad c, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Unfortunately, for small subgroups.

Quadratic residuals and sumsets

$$R = \{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\}, \quad |R| = \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

Paley Graph conjecture

Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, $|A|, |B| > p^\varepsilon$. Then

$$A + B \subsetneq R. \quad (2)$$

Karatsuba proved (2) for

$$|A| > p^{\varepsilon_1} \quad \text{and} \quad |B| > p^{1/2+\varepsilon_2}.$$

Karatsuba conjecture

Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, $|A|, |B| \sim p^{1/2}$. Then

$$A + B \not\subseteq R.$$

Chang's results for specific A and B .

Sárközy Theorem

Theorem (Sárközy, 2012)

Let $R = A + B$, $|A|, |B| \geq 2$. Then

$$\frac{p^{1/2}}{3 \log p} < |A|, |B| < p^{1/2} \log p.$$

Actually (Shkredov, 2012)

$$\frac{p^{1/2}}{12} < |A|, |B| < 12p^{1/2}.$$

The proof uses Weil's bounds for exponential sums with Legendre symbols.

Perfect difference sets

Definition

A set A is called a *perfect difference set* if the number of the solutions of the equation

$$a_1 - a_2 = x \quad a_1, a_2 \in A$$

does not depend on nonzero x .

For example, if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ then R is a perfect difference set.

The main idea

Replace the family $\{e^{\frac{-2\pi i\lambda x}{p}}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p}$ and Fourier transform

$$f \rightarrow \hat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_x f(x) e^{\frac{-2\pi i\lambda x}{p}}$$

by the family

$$\left\{ \chi(x + \lambda) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$$

and the transform

$$f \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\lambda) = \sum_x f(x) \left(\chi(x + \lambda) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right),$$

$$A \dot{+} A = \{a_1 + a_2 : a_1 \neq a_2, a_1, a_2 \in A\}.$$

Example

$A \dot{+} A = R$ and A is a *perfect difference set*:

(a) $p = 3, A = \{0, 1\}$.

(b) $p = 7, A = \{3, 5, 6\}$.

(c) $p = 13, A = \{0, 1, 3, 9\}, A = \{0, 4, 10, 12\}$.

Here $p = n^2 + n + 1$, $|A| = n + 1$, $n = q^s$, q is a prime number or 1.

Singer's Theorem

Let $n = q^s$, q is a prime number or 1. Then there is a perfect difference set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(n^2 + n + 1)\mathbb{Z}$, $|A| = n + 1$.

In other words the number of the solutions of the equation

$$a_1 - a_2 = x \quad a_1, a_2 \in A$$

equals 1 for all nonzero $x \in \mathbb{Z}/(n^2 + n + 1)\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (Shkredov, 2012, work in progress)

- $R = A + A$ iff $p = 3$, $A = \{2\}$.
- $R = A \dot{+} A$ then A is from example above.

Similar results for $R \approx A + A$ that is $|R \Delta (A + A)|$ small.
If $R \approx A \dot{+} A$ then A is "close" to a perfect difference set.

Thank you for your attention!