

A CHARACTERIZATION OF QUANTIC QUANTIFIERS IN SEVERAL LATTICES

LEOPOLDO ROMÁN

Definition 1. A bounded lattice $L = (L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is an ortholattice if there exists a unary operation $\perp : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying the conditions:

- (1) $a^{\perp\perp} = a$.
- (2) $a, b \in L : (a \vee b)^{\perp} = a^{\perp} \wedge b^{\perp}$.
- (3) $a \vee a^{\perp} = 1$.

a, b being arbitrary elements of L .

If L is an ortholattice, we shall say L is an orthomodular lattice if it satisfies the following weak modularity property:

Given any $a, b \in L$ with $a \leq b$ we have: $b = a \vee (a^{\perp} \wedge b)$ (equivalently, $a = (a \vee b^{\perp}) \wedge b$).

Definition 2. Let L be an arbitrary orthomodular lattice. By a quantic quantifier F on L we understand a function $F : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $F(0) = 0$.
- (2) For any $a \in L$, we have: $a \leq F(a)$.
- (3) If $a, b \in L$ then $F(a \& F(b)) = F(a) \& F(b)$.

Theorem 1. Let L be an arbitrary orthomodular lattice and F a quantic quantifier on L . Then F and $F(L)$ satisfy:

- (1) $F(1) = 1$.
- (2) F is idempotent.
- (3) F is a functor.
- (4) $F((F(a))^{\perp}) = F(a)^{\perp}$.
- (5) F is a projection in $S(L)$.
- (6) For every $a \in L$ the set $[a, -) \cap F(L)$ has a least element. Namely, $F(a)$. In particular, $F(L)$ is reflective in L ; i.e., the inclusion $I : F(L) \rightarrow L$ has a left adjoint, denoted by R .
- (7) $F(L)$, the set of the fixed points of L is a suborthomodular lattice of L .

Definition 3. An orthoposet $(L, \perp, 0, 1)$ is a bounded poset satisfying:

- (1) For any $a \in L$ we have: $a^{\perp\perp} = a$.
- (2) If $a \leq b$ in L then $b^{\perp} \leq a^{\perp}$.
- (3) For any $a \in L$ $a \wedge a^{\perp} = 0$.
- (4) For any $a \in L$ $a \wedge a^{\perp} = 0$.
- (5) For any $a \in L$ $a \vee a^{\perp} = 1$.

We can formulate now the following:

Theorem 2. Let $(L, 0, 1)$ be a bounded poset. Suppose we have two families of endofunctors $\{F(-, x) : L \rightarrow L\}_{x \in L}$, $\{H(x, -) : L \rightarrow L\}_{x \in L}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) For any $a \in L$, $F(-, a)$ is left adjoint to $H(a, -)$.
- (2) If $x \leq y$ then $F(-, y) \circ F(-, x) = F(-, x)$.
- (3) For any $x \in L$, if $H(x, 0) = x^{\perp}$ then $H(H(x, 0), 0) = x^{\perp\perp} \leq x$.
- (4) For any $x, y \in L$, $F(F(y, x)^{\perp}, x) \leq y^{\perp}$.
- (5) If $x \leq y$ then $x = F(x, y), y = H(x^{\perp}, y)$.
- (6) For any $x \in L$ we have $F(1, x) \leq x$.

then L is an orthomodular lattice. Conversely, any orthomodular lattice, has two families of endofunctors satisfying the last six conditions.

Theorem 3. The category of orthomodular lattices, denoted by OML , is equivalent to the category of bounded posets $(P, 0, 1)$ with two families of endofunctors, parametrized by elements $a \in P$. Denoted by $PosAdj$, satisfying the conditions of theorem 2.

Similar results can be proved for Heyting Algebras and right-sided, idempotent quantales.