Characterizations of sufficient quantum channels

Anna Jenčová Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Beyond IID in Information Theory, BIRS, July 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Some basic references

- D. Petz: Sufficient subalgebras and the relative entropy of states of a von Neumann algebra, Commun. Math. Phys, 105 (1986)
- D. Petz: Sufficiency of channels over von Neumann algebras, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 39 (1988)
- M. Mosonyi, D. Petz: Structure of sufficient quantum coarse-grainings, Let. Math. Phys, 68 (2004)
- A. Jenčová, D. Petz: Sufficiency in quantum statistical inference, Commun. Math. Phys. 263 (2006)
- F. Hiai, M. Mosonyi, D. Petz, C. Beny: Quantum *f*-divergences and error correction, Rev. Math. Phys, 23 (2011)
- A. Jenčová: Reversibility conditions for quantum operations, Rev. Math. Phys, 24 (2012)

Classical sufficiency

• A statistical model: a family

$$\{P_{\theta}, \ \theta \in \Theta\}$$

of probability distributions on a sample space (X, Ω)

- A statistic: measurable map $T: (X, \Omega) \rightarrow (Y, \Sigma)$
- *T* is sufficient if the conditional probability does not depend on *θ*:

$$P_{ heta}(X|T) = P(X|T), \qquad orall heta \in \Theta$$

In this case, the transformed vector Y = T(X) contains all information about the parameter

R.A. Fisher, Philos. T. Roy. Soc. A, 222 (1922).

Quantum sufficiency

- Let S ⊂ S(H) be a set of quantum states (density operators on a Hilbert space H)
- Let $T: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ be a quantum channel

 $T: \mathcal{S} \mapsto T(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K})$

• \mathcal{T} is sufficient (or also reversible) with respect to \mathcal{S} if there is some

 $R: B(\mathcal{K}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ recovery channel

such that

$$R \circ T(\rho) = \rho \qquad \forall \rho \in S$$

Sufficient subalgebras

We assume dim(\mathcal{H}) < ∞ , \mathcal{S} contains a faithful state.

Let A ⊆ B(H) be a *-subalgebra, T_A : B(H) → A the trace preserving conditional expectation

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}: \rho \mapsto \rho|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

- If $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is sufficient, then \mathcal{A} is a sufficient subalgebra
- The recovery channel R satisfies

$$R(\rho) = R(\rho|_{\mathcal{A}}) = \rho, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{S}$$

Minimal sufficient subalgebra

Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$ be the set of all unital cp maps Φ on $B(\mathcal{H})$, such that

$$\Phi^*(
ho)=
ho, \quad
ho\in \mathcal{S}$$

- $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a closed convex semigroup of unital cp maps.
- By the mean ergodic theorem, there is a (faithful) conditional expectation *E* ∈ *I*_S such that

$$\Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$$
 if and only if $\Phi \circ E = E \circ \Phi = E$.

The range of E,

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}} := E(B(\mathcal{H})) = \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}), \Phi(X) = X, \forall \Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}\}$

• In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a subalgebra in $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Kümmerer and Nagel, Ann. Sci. Math, 41 (1979), 151-159

Minimal sufficient subalgebra

• Since $E \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$, we have

$$\rho = E^*(\rho) = E^*(\rho|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}}), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{S},$$

that is, E^* is a recovery channel for the channel $T_{\mathcal{F}_S}$:

 $\implies \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a sufficient subalgebra

- A subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is sufficient if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$
- *F*_S is minimal sufficient

A. Luczak, Int. J. Theor. Phys, 53 (2014)

Minimal sufficient subalgebra

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$ be a faithful state.

• Since E is a conditional expectation and $E^*(\omega) = \omega$,

$$\omega^{it}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}\omega^{-it}\subseteq\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}},\quad t\in\mathbb{R}$$

• The algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is generated by the Radon-Nikodym cocycles

$$\rho^{it}\omega^{-it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ \rho \in \mathcal{S}$$

• A subalgebra \mathcal{A} is sufficient if and only if

$$\rho^{it}\omega^{-it} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ \rho \in \mathcal{S}$$

• By analytic continuation

$$\rho^{z}\omega^{-z} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \ \rho \in \mathcal{S}$$

Factorization of states in ${\cal S}$

There is a decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j} \mathcal{H}_{j}^{L} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j}^{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigoplus_{j} B(\mathcal{H}_{j}^{L}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}_{j}^{R}},$$

 $E^{*}(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{j} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{j}^{R}}[P_{j}\sigma P_{j}] \otimes \omega_{j}^{R}, \quad \omega_{j}^{R} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_{j}^{R})$

 $P_j = \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_j^L \otimes \mathcal{H}_j^R$ is the projection. Since $\rho = E^*(\rho)$ for $\rho \in S$, $\rho = \bigoplus p_j(\rho)\rho_j^L \otimes \omega_j^R$, $\rho \in S$,

where $p_j(\rho) = \operatorname{Tr} [\rho P_j], \ \rho_j^L \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_j^L).$

M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. A, 66 (2002) P. Hayden, R. Jozsa, D. Petz, A. Winter, Commun. Math. Phys. 246 (2004)

Sufficient channels

Let $T : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ be a channel, suppose there exists a recovery channel $R : B(\mathcal{K}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$. Then $R \circ T(\rho) = \rho$ for $\rho \in S$, so that

 $T^* \circ R^* \in \mathcal{I}_S$

 ${\cal T}$ is sufficient with respect to ${\cal S}$ if and only if there is some unital cp map β such that

$$T^* \circ \beta = E$$
 $(\beta = R^* \circ E)$

Sufficient channels

Let $T(S) = \{T(\rho), \rho \in S\}$. Assume $T(\omega)$ is faithful. The following are equivalent.

• T is sufficient with respect to S

• $T^*|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})}}$ is a homomorphism and for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})}$,

$$T^*(T(\omega)^{it} AT(\omega)^{-it}) = \omega^{it} T^*(A) \omega^{-it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

•
$$T^*(T(\rho)^{it}T(\omega)^{-it}) = \rho^{it}\omega^{-it}$$
, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$

- $T^*(T(\rho)^z T(\omega)^{-z}) = \rho^z \omega^{-z}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}, \ \rho \in S$
- $T^*(T(\rho)^p T(\omega)^{-p}) = \rho^p \omega^{-p}$ for some $p \in (0,1)$

Sufficient channels - factorization

 ${\mathcal T}$ is sufficient with respect to ${\mathcal S}$ if and only if there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{K}_j^L \otimes \mathcal{K}_j^R$$

such that

$$T = \bigoplus_j U_j \otimes T_j$$

for some unitary channels $U_j : B(\mathcal{H}_j^L) \to B(\mathcal{K}_j^L)$ and some channels $T_j : B(\mathcal{H}_j^R) \to B(\mathcal{K}_i^R)$

The dual map

Let $T: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ be a channel, $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ a faithful state.

• The dual map of T with respect to ω is the channel $T_{\omega}: B(\mathcal{K}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$, defined by

$$T_{\omega}(B) = \omega^{1/2} T^* (T(\omega)^{-1/2} B T(\omega)^{-1/2}) \omega^{1/2}$$

Alternatively, T^{*}_{\omega} is the adjoint of T^{*}:

$$\langle A, T^*(B) \rangle_\omega = \langle T^*_\omega(A), B \rangle_{T(\omega)}, \quad A \in B(\mathcal{H}), B \in B(\mathcal{K})$$

with respect to the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\omega} = \operatorname{Tr} A^{\dagger} \omega^{1/2} B \omega^{1/2}$$

D. Petz, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 35 (1984)

The dual map as a recovery channel

 $T^* \circ T^*_\omega$ is a unital cp map on $B(\mathcal{H})$, preserving a faithful state,

$$T_{\omega} \circ T(\omega) = \omega.$$

Again by mean ergodic theorem, there exists a conditional expectation F on $B(\mathcal{H})$, $F^*(\omega) = \omega$, with range

$$F(B(\mathcal{H})) = \{A, T^* \circ T^*_\omega(A) = A\}$$

and this satisfies

$$T^* \circ T^*_\omega \circ F = F.$$

The dual map as a recovery channel

Let ω be a faithful state, E be a conditional expectation, $E^*(\omega) = \omega$. The following are equivalent.

• There exists some unital cp map β such that $T^* \circ \beta = E$.

•
$$F \circ E = E \circ F = E$$
 $(E \subseteq F)$

- $T^* \circ T^*_\omega \circ E = E$
- $T_{\omega} = T_{\rho}$ for all faithful states ρ such that $E^*(\rho) = \rho$.
- T is sufficient for $S_E = \{E^*(\rho), \rho \in S(\mathcal{H})\}.$

Moreover, $\beta \circ E = T^*_{\omega} \circ E$.

Corollary

If T is sufficient with respect to S, then T_{ω} is a recovery channel.

A Radon-Nikodym derivative

Let $\rho, \omega \in S(\mathcal{H})$, ω faithful. We define a Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$d(\rho,\omega) = \omega^{-1/2} \rho \omega^{-1/2}$$

Alternatively: $\langle d(\rho, \omega), A \rangle_{\omega} = \operatorname{Tr} \rho A, \qquad A \in B(\mathcal{H}).$ Properties:

- $d(\rho, \omega) \geq 0$
- $\log \|d(
 ho,\omega)\| = D_{max}(
 ho,\omega)$ (max relative entropy)
- note that the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy is

$$ilde{D}_lpha(
ho,\omega) = rac{lpha}{lpha-1} \log \|d(
ho,\omega)\|_{lpha,\omega}$$

where

$$\|A\|_{\alpha,\omega}^{\alpha} = \operatorname{Tr} |\omega^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} A \omega^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}|^{\alpha}$$

Sufficiency characterization

Let T be a channel, it is easy to see that

$$T^*_{\omega}(d(\rho,\omega)) = d(T(\rho),T(\omega))$$

and

$$T_{\omega} \circ T(\rho) = \rho \iff T^*(d(T(\rho), T(\omega))) = d(\rho, \omega)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

T is sufficient

- iff the last equality holds for all $ho \in \mathcal{S}$
- iff d(ρ, ω) is in the fixed points domain of T^{*} ∘ T^{*}_ω.

Operator convex functions

•
$$f:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$$
,

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B)$$

for all $0 \leq A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$, dim $(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

• Integral representation of operator convex functions:

$$f(x) = f(0) + ax + bx^{2} + \int_{(0,\infty)} (\frac{x}{1+t} - \frac{x}{x+t}) d\mu_{f}(t)$$

 $a\in\mathbb{R},\ b\geq0,\ \mu_f$ is a measure on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\int(1+t)^{-2}d\mu_f(t)<\infty$

Generalized divergences

• Let
$$ilde{\mathcal{H}}\equiv B(\mathcal{H})$$
 with inner product

$$\langle A,B\rangle = \operatorname{Tr} A^{\dagger} B$$

• Relative modular operator: $\rho, \omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, ω faithful

$$\Delta_{
ho,\omega}(A) =
ho A \omega^{-1}, \qquad A \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$$

a positive operator on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$

• Generalized divergence: for f operator convex,

$$D_f(
ho,\omega) = \langle \omega^{1/2}, f(\Delta_{
ho,\omega}) \omega^{1/2}
angle$$

D. Petz, Rep. Math. Phys., 21 (1986)

Generalized divergences - examples

• relative entropy:
$$f(x) = x \log(x)$$

 $D(\rho, \omega) = \operatorname{Tr} \rho(\log \rho - \log \omega)$
• α -divergence: $f_{\alpha}(x) = 1 - x^{\alpha}, \ \alpha \in (0, 1)$
 $D_{\alpha}(\rho, \omega) = 1 - \operatorname{Tr} \rho^{\alpha} \omega^{1-\alpha}$
• $\varphi_t(x) = -\frac{x}{x+t}, \ t \in (0, 1)$
 $D_t(\rho, \omega) = -\operatorname{Tr} \omega^{1/2} (L_{\rho} + tR_{\omega})^{-1} (\rho \omega^{1/2})$

• quadratic divergence: $\varphi_0(x) = x^2$

$$D_0(\rho,\omega) = \operatorname{Tr} \rho^2 \omega^{-1}$$

Monotonicity

Theorem

Let $T : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ be a channel, f operator convex. Then

 $D_f(\rho,\omega) \geq D_f(T(\rho),T(\omega))$

• define
$$V: ilde{\mathcal{K}}
ightarrow ilde{\mathcal{H}}$$
 by

$$V: AT(\omega)^{1/2} \mapsto T^*(A)\omega^{1/2}, \qquad A \in B(\mathcal{K})$$

By Kadison-Schwarz inequality T*(A)[†]T*(A) ≤ T*(A[†]A),
 V is a contraction and

$$V^*\Delta_{
ho,\omega}V\leq \Delta_{T(
ho),T(\omega)}$$

Monotonicity

 By operator Jensen inequality, for an operator monotone function g such that g(0) ≥ 0:

$$\mathsf{g}(\Delta_{\mathcal{T}(
ho),\mathcal{T}(\omega)}) \geq \mathsf{g}(V^*\Delta_{
ho,\omega}V) \geq V^* \mathsf{g}(\Delta_{
ho,\omega})V$$

- D_{lpha} is monotone, $lpha \in (0,1)$ (put $g(x) = 1 f_{lpha}(x) = x^{lpha}$)
- D_t is monotone, $t \in (0,\infty)$ (put $g(x) = -\varphi_t(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$)
- D₀ is monotone by the generalized Kadison-Schwarz inequality

$$T(\rho)T(\omega)^{-1}T(\rho) \le T(\rho\omega^{-1}\rho)$$

By the integral representation,

$$D_f(
ho,\omega)=D_0(
ho,\omega)+\int_{(0,\infty)}(rac{1}{1+t}+D_t(
ho,\omega))d\mu_f(t)$$

Equality in the monotonicity

Assume that

$$D(T(\rho), T(\omega)) = D(\rho, \omega)$$

Then $D_t(T(\rho), T(\omega)) = D_t(\rho, \omega)$ for all $t \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu_f)$, so that

$$V^*(\Delta_{
ho,\omega}+t)^{-1}\omega^{1/2} = (\Delta_{T(
ho),T(\omega)}+t)^{-1}T(\omega)^{1/2}, \ t\in {
m supp}(\mu_f)$$

If $|\mathrm{supp}(\mu_f)| \geq \mathsf{dim}(\mathcal{H})^2 + \mathsf{dim}(\mathcal{K})^2$, we get

$$h(\Delta_{
ho,\omega})\omega^{1/2} = Vh(\Delta_{T(
ho),T(\omega)})T(\omega)^{1/2}$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

for (bounded continuous) functions $h: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Equality in the monotonicity

Put
$$h(x) = x^{is}, s \in \mathbb{R} \implies$$

 $T^*(T(\rho)^{is}T(\omega)^{-is}) = \rho^{is}\omega^{-is}, s \in \mathbb{R}$

Theorem

Let f be an operator convex function such that $|\operatorname{supp}(\mu_f)| \ge \dim(\mathcal{H})^2 + \dim(\mathcal{K})^2$. Then T is sufficient with respect to S if and only if

$$D_f(T(\rho), T(\omega)) = D_f(\rho, \omega), \qquad \rho \in S$$

Examples and counterexamples

• Equality implies sufficiency:

$$D, D_{\alpha}, \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

• Equality does not imply sufficiency

$$D_0, \ D_t, t \in (0,\infty) \quad (f(x) = x^2, \ f(x) = \frac{1}{x+t})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Strong subadditivity of entropy

Let
$$\rho_{ABC} \in S(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)$$
, then
 $S(\rho_{ABC}) + S(\rho_B) \leq S(\rho_{AB}) + S(\rho_{BC})$,

where

$$S(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr} \rho \log(\rho).$$

Equivalently,

$$D(\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_B) \leq D(\rho_{ABC}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Equality in SSA and Markov property

Suppose

$$S(\rho_{ABC}) + S(\rho_B) = S(\rho_{AB}) + S(\rho_{BC})$$

Then

• Tr _C is sufficient with respect to $\{\rho_{ABC}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}\}$

•
$$\rho_{ABC} = T_{\rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}}(\rho_{AB})$$

• There is a decomposition $\mathcal{H}_B = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{H}_{Bn}^L \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Bn}^R$ such that

$$\rho_{ABC} = \bigoplus_{n} \lambda_n \rho_{ABn}^L \otimes \rho_{BCn}^R$$

where
$$\rho_{ABn}^{L} \in S(\mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Bn}^{L})$$
, $\rho_{BCn}^{R} \in S(\mathcal{H}_{Bn}^{R} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{C})$.

P. Hayden, R. Jozsa, D. Petz, A. Winter, Commun. Math. Phys. 246 (2004)

Quantum hypothesis testing

Let $\rho, \omega \in S(\mathcal{H})$. Consider the problem of testing the hypothesis $H_0 = \rho$ against the alternative $H_1 = \omega$.

• tests: $0 \le M \le I$, where

 $\operatorname{Tr} M\sigma$ = probability of rejecting H_0 if the state is σ

error probabilities

$$\alpha(M) = \operatorname{Tr} M \rho, \qquad \beta(M) = \operatorname{Tr} (I - M) \omega$$

• Minimum Bayes error probability: $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$\Pi_{\lambda} = \min_{0 \le M \le I} \lambda \alpha(M) + (1 - \lambda)\beta(M) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\lambda \rho - (1 - \lambda)\omega\|_1)$$

Monotonicity

Let T be a channel, $H'_0 = T(\rho)$, $H'_1 = T(\omega)$.

• error probabilities:
$$0 \le N \le I$$
,

$$lpha'(\mathsf{N}) = \operatorname{Tr} \mathsf{T}^*(\mathsf{N})
ho, \qquad eta'(\mathsf{N}) = \operatorname{Tr} (1 - \mathsf{T}^*(\mathsf{N})) \omega$$

• the minimum Bayes error probability cannot be smaller:

$$\Pi'_{\lambda} = \min_{0 \le N \le I} \lambda \alpha(T^*(N)) + (1 - \lambda)\beta(T^*(N)) \ge \Pi_{\lambda}$$

• equivalently,

$$\|T(\rho) - tT(\omega)\|_1 \le \|\rho - t\omega\|_1, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Equality and sufficiency

In the classical case (ρ and ω commute), T is sufficient with respect to $\{\rho, \omega\}$ if and only

$$\|T(\rho) - tT(\omega)\|_1 = \|\rho - t\omega\|_1, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Some further cases when this equivalence holds:

- $T(\rho)$ and $T(\omega)$ commute
- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \dim(\mathcal{K}) = 2$
- *T*^{*} commutes with the modular groups:

$$\omega^{it} T^*(A) \omega^{-it} = T^*(T(\omega)^{it} A T(\omega)^{-it}), \quad A \in B(\mathcal{K}), t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Equality and sufficiency

Theorem

T is sufficient with respect to ${\mathcal S}$ if and only if

$$\|T(\sigma) - tT(\omega)\|_1 = \|\sigma - t\omega\|_1, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds for all $\sigma \in \tilde{S} = \{\omega^{is}\rho\omega^{-is}, s \in \mathbb{R}, \rho \in S\}.$

i.i.d. sequences and quantum Chernoff distance

Take *n* copies,
$$H_0^n = \rho^{\otimes n}$$
, $H_1^n = \omega^{\otimes n}$,
$$\Pi_{\lambda,n} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\lambda \rho^{\otimes n} - (1 - \lambda)\omega^{\otimes n}\|_1)$$

Quantum Chernoff distance:

$$-\lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}\log(\Pi_{\lambda,n})=-\log\left(\inf_{0\leq s\leq 1}\operatorname{Tr}\rho^{s}\omega^{1-s}\right)=:C(\rho,\omega)$$

Monotonicity: if T is a channel,

$$C(\rho,\omega) \ge C(T(\rho),T(\omega))$$

K.M.R. Audenaert, M. Nussbaum, A. Szkola, F. Verstraete, Comm. Math. Phys. 279 (2008)

Conditions for sufficiency

Theorem

The following are equivalent.

- $\|T(\sigma)^{\otimes n} tT(\omega)^{\otimes n}\|_1 = \|\rho^{\otimes n} t\omega^{\otimes n}\|_1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \in S$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- C(ρ,ω) = C(T(ρ), T(ω)), ρ ∈ co(S) (or ρ ∈ S if all elements in S are faithful)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

• T is sufficient with respect to S.

Multiple hypothesis testing

An ensemble $\{\lambda_i, \rho_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $0 \le \lambda_i$, $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$, $\rho_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ Assume $\rho = \rho_i$ with prior probability λ_i , i = 1, ..., n.

• test:
$$M_1, \ldots, M_n, M_i \ge 0, \sum_i M_i = I$$

 $\operatorname{Tr} M_i \rho$ is the probability that we choose ρ_i

Optimal success probability:

$$P(\{\lambda_i, \rho_i\}) = \max_{M_i \ge 0, \sum M_i = I} \sum_i \lambda_i \operatorname{Tr} \rho M_i$$

Approximate sufficiency and multiple hypothesis testing

Let $S = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n\}$, $T : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ a channel, $\epsilon \ge 0$. The following are equivalent.

• For any ensemble $\{rac{1}{d^2},\sigma^j\}_{j=1}^{d^2}$, where $d=\dim(\mathcal{K})$ and

$$\sigma^j = \sum_{i=1}^n |i\rangle\langle i|\otimes\sigma_i^j, \ \sigma_i^j\in B(\mathcal{K})^+, \ j=1,\ldots,d^2,$$

we have

$$P(\{\frac{1}{d^2}, \sum_i \rho_i \otimes \sigma_i^j\}_j) \le P(\{\frac{1}{d^2}, \sum_i T(\rho_i) \otimes \sigma_i^j\}_j) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} P(\{\frac{1}{d^2}, \sigma^j\}_j)$$

T is ε-sufficient with respect to S: there is some channel R_ε such that

$$\max_{i} \|\rho_{i} - R_{\epsilon} \circ T(\rho_{i})\|_{1} \leq \epsilon$$

A. Jenčová, arxiv:1404.3900