Rényi relative entropies and sufficiency of quantum channels

Anna Jenčová Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Beyond IID, Cambridge, July 2018

Dedicated to the memory of Dénes Petz

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Classical Rényi relative entropies

For p, q probability measures over a finite set  $X, 0 < \alpha \neq 1$ :  $D_{\alpha}(p||q) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \sum_{x} p(x)^{\alpha} q(x)^{1 - \alpha}$ In the limit  $\alpha \to 1$ : relative entropy  $S(p||q) = \sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)/q(x))$ 

- introduced as the unique family of divergences satisfying a set of postulates
- fundamental quantities appearing in many information theoretic tasks

A. Rényi, Proc. Symp. on Math., Stat. and Probability, 1961.

# Standard quantum Rényi relative entropies

For density matrices 
$$\rho, \sigma, 0 < \alpha \neq 1$$
,

$$D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = rac{1}{lpha - 1} \log \operatorname{Tr} 
ho^{lpha} \sigma^{1 - lpha}$$

In the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ : quantum (Umegaki) relative entropy

$$S(\rho \| \sigma) = \operatorname{Tr} \rho(\log(\rho) - \log(\sigma))$$

- obtained from Petz quasi-entropies<sup>1,2</sup>
- defined for normal states of a von Neumann algebra, using the relative modular operator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D. Petz, *Rep. Math. Phys., 1986* <sup>2</sup>D. Petz, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 1985

# Standard quantum Rényi relative entropies

It follows from the properties of quasi-entropies that: if  $\alpha \in (0,2]$ 

- strict positivity:  $D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) \geq 0$  with equality iff  $\rho = \sigma$ ;
- data processing inequality:

$$D_{lpha}(
ho\|\sigma) \geq D_{lpha}(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma))$$

for any quantum channel  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ 

- joint lower semicontinuity
- joint (quasi)-convexity: the map

$$(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \exp\{(\alpha - 1)D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)\}$$

is jointly convex.

# Equality in DPI: sufficient quantum channels

Let the quantum states  $\rho, \sigma$  and a channel  $\Phi$  be such that

$$S(\Phi(\rho) \| \Phi(\sigma)) = S(\rho \| \sigma) < \infty$$

This condition was introduced as a quantum extension of classical sufficient statistics:

A statistic  ${\cal T}$  is sufficient with respect to a pair of probability distributons  $\{p,q\}$  if

- ▶ the conditional expectation satisfies  $E_p[\cdot|T] = E_q[\cdot|T]$
- an equivalent Kullback-Leibler characterization by the classical relative entropy:

$$S(p^{\mathcal{T}} \| q^{\mathcal{T}}) = S(p \| q) \; ( \; ext{if} \; < \infty)$$

D. Petz, CMP, 1986 D. Petz, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 1988

# Sufficient quantum channels

Let  $\rho, \sigma$  be quantum states (normal states of a von Neumann algebra),  $\sigma$  faithful. Assume that  $S(\rho \| \sigma) < \infty$ 

# TheoremThe following are equivalent.• $S(\rho \| \sigma) = S(\Phi(\rho) \| \Phi(\sigma));$ • There is a quantum channel $\Psi$ such that $\Psi \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho, \quad \Psi \circ \Phi(\sigma) = \sigma$

We say in this case that  $\Phi$  is sufficient (reversible) with respect to  $\{\rho, \sigma\}$ .

Sufficient quantum channels: divergences

A divergence D characterizes sufficiency if

 $D(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) = D(
ho\|\sigma) < \infty$ 

implies that  $\Phi$  is sufficient with respect to  $\{\rho, \sigma\}$ .

The following divergences characterize sufficiency:

- relative entropy
- $D_{lpha}$ , with  $lpha \in (0,2)^{3,4}$
- ▶ a class of *f*-divergences (in finite dimension)<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>3</sup>D. Petz, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 1988
<sup>4</sup>AJ and D. Petz, 2006
<sup>5</sup>Hiai, Mosonyi, Petz, Bény, 2011
<sup>6</sup>F. Hiai and M. Mosonyi, 2017

Sufficient quantum channels: universal recovery channel

The Petz recovery channel is defined as

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(Y) = \sigma^{1/2} \Phi^*(\Phi(\sigma)^{-1/2} Y \Phi(\sigma)^{-1/2}) \sigma^{1/2}$$

Note that we always have  $\Phi_{\sigma} \circ \Phi(\sigma) = \sigma$ .

#### Theorem

 $\Phi$  is sufficient with respect to  $\{\rho,\sigma\}$  if and only if

 $\Phi_{\sigma} \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho.$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

# Sufficient quantum channels: a conditional expectation

Note that by the last condition,  $\rho$  (and  $\sigma$ ) must be invariant states of the channel  $\Phi_{\sigma} \circ \Phi$ .

There is a conditional expectation E,  $\sigma \circ E = \sigma$ , such that  $\Phi$  is sufficient with respect to  $\{\rho, \sigma\}$  if and only if  $\rho \circ E = \rho$ .

Structure of the states<sup>7</sup>: in finite dimensions (or on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ ), there is a decomposition

$$U\sigma U^* = \bigoplus_n \lambda_n \sigma_n^L \otimes \sigma_n^R, \qquad \sigma_n^L, \sigma_n^R \text{ states, } \lambda_n \text{ probabilities}$$

such that  $\Phi$  is sufficient with respect to  $\{\rho, \sigma\}$  iff

$$U
ho U^* = \bigoplus_n \mu_n \rho_n^L \otimes \sigma_n^R, \qquad \rho_n^L \text{ states}, \ \mu_n \text{ probabilities}$$

<sup>7</sup>M. Mosonyi and D. Petz, 2004; AJ and D. Petz, 2006 🖅 🗧 🔬 📱 🔗 👁

Sufficient quantum channels: applications

Characterization of equality in various entropic inequalities:

- strong subadditivity: characterization of quantum Markov states<sup>8</sup>
- monotonicity of quantum Fisher information, Holevo quantity, etc.

Approximate version - recoverability<sup>9</sup>:

$$\mathcal{S}(
ho\|\sigma) - \mathcal{S}(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) \geq d(
ho\| ilde{\Phi}_{\sigma}\circ\Phi(
ho))$$

d some divergence measure,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma}$  a modification of Petz recovery channel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Hayden, Josza, Petz, Winter, 2004

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>O. Fawzi and R. Renner, 2014; M. M. Wilde, 2015; Junge et. al, 2015; ... 🤊 ५० ९

# Sandwiched Rényi relative entropy

Another version:

for density matrices  $\rho, \sigma$ :  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left( \sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha} \right]$ M. Müller-Lennert et al., *J. Math. Phys.*, 2013 M. M. Wilde et al., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2014

▶ satisfies DPI (+ other properties) if  $\alpha \in [1/2, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ 

• 
$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = S(\rho \| \sigma)$$

▶ operational interpretation for α > 1: strong converse exponents in quantum hypothesis testing<sup>10</sup>

<sup>10</sup>M. Mosonyi, and T. Ogawa, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2017 (E) (C)

# The purpose of the rest of this talk

Extend the sandwiched Rényi relative entropies to normal states of von Neumann algebras and show some properties

the standard version D<sub>α</sub> (quasi-entropies) is defined in this setting and has an operational interpretation in hypothesis testing as in finite dimensions<sup>11</sup>

In this general setting, prove that  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$  characterize sufficiency of channels, for  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>V. Jaksic et al., Rev. Math. Phys., 2012

# Extensions of $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ to von Neumann algebras

Let  $\rho, \sigma$  be normal states on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Two constructions, using noncommutative  $L_{p}$ -spaces:

- ► Araki-Masuda divergences, defined for α ∈ [1/2, 1) ∪ (1,∞], uses Araki-Masuda L<sub>p</sub>-spaces<sup>12</sup>
- sandwiched Rényi relative entropies, defined for α > 1, uses Kosaki L<sub>p</sub>-spaces<sup>13</sup>

# Haagerup *L<sub>p</sub>*-spaces

## For $1 \le p \le \infty$ , $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ - Haagerup $L_p$ -space, with norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{M} \simeq L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ ;

- ▶ the predual  $\mathcal{M}_* \simeq L_1(\mathcal{M})$ :  $\rho \mapsto h_\rho$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr} h_\rho = \rho(1)$ ;
- $L_2(\mathcal{M})$  a Hilbert space:  $\langle h, k \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} k^* h$

If  $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$ , we can use the Schatten classes:

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}) = \{ X \in B(\mathcal{H}), \operatorname{Tr} |X|^p < \infty \}, \quad \|X\|_p = (\operatorname{Tr} |X|^p)^{1/p}$$

#### The standard form

Standard form:  $(\lambda(\mathcal{M}), L_2(\mathcal{M}), J, L_2(\mathcal{M})^+)$ 

a representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $L_2(\mathcal{M})$  by left multiplication:

$$\lambda(x)h = xh, \qquad x \in \mathcal{M}, \ h \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$$

Any  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}^+_*$  has a unique vector representative  $h_{\rho}^{1/2}$  in  $L_2(\mathcal{M})^+$ :

$$ho(a)=\langle \,ah_
ho^{1/2},h_
ho^{1/2}\,
angle$$

Kosaki  $L_p$ -spaces with respect to a faithful normal state

Let  $\sigma$  be a faithful normal state:

continuous embedding

$$\mathcal{M} \to L_1(\mathcal{M}), \quad x \mapsto h_\sigma^{1/2} x h_\sigma^{1/2}$$

Put

 $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \sigma) := h_{\sigma}^{1/2} \mathcal{M} h_{\sigma}^{1/2}, \qquad \|h_{\sigma}^{1/2} x h_{\sigma}^{1/2}\|_{\infty, \sigma} = \|x\|_{\infty}$  $\models \text{ let } \rho \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}, \text{ then } h_{\rho} \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \sigma) \text{ iff } \rho \leq \lambda \sigma \text{ and} \\ \|h_{\rho}\|_{\infty, \sigma} = \inf\{\lambda > 0, \rho \leq \lambda \sigma\}.$ 

Kosaki  $L_p$ -spaces with respect to a faithful normal state

Let 1 :

- $(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \sigma), L_1(\mathcal{M}))$  compatible pair of Banach spaces
- interpolation space

$$L_{\rho}(\mathcal{M},\sigma) := C_{1/\rho}(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M},\sigma),L_{1}(\mathcal{M})), \text{ with norm } \|\cdot\|_{\rho,\sigma}$$

• Let 
$$1/p + 1/q = 1$$
, then

$$L_{p}(\mathcal{M},\sigma) = \{h_{\sigma}^{1/2q} k h_{\sigma}^{1/2q}, k \in L_{p}(\mathcal{M})\},\$$
$$\|h_{\sigma}^{1/2q} k h_{\sigma}^{1/2q}\|_{p,\sigma} = \|k\|_{p}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A definition of  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ 

Extension to non-faithful  $\sigma$ : by restriction to support  $s(\sigma) = e$ 

$$L_p(\mathcal{M},\sigma) = \{h \in L_1(\mathcal{M}), h = ehe \in L_p(e\mathcal{M}e,\sigma|_{e\mathcal{M}e})\}.$$

For normal states  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $1 < \alpha < \infty$ :  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log(\|h_{\rho}\|_{\alpha, \sigma}) & \text{if } h_{\rho} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \sigma) \\ & \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

# Some properties of $ilde{D}_{lpha}$

Using complex interpolation, we can prove

- strict positivity:  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) \ge 0$ , with equality iff  $\rho = \sigma$ .
- ▶ joint lower semicontinuity (on  $L_1(\mathcal{M})^+ \times L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$ )
- if  $ho 
  eq \sigma$  and  $ilde{D}_{lpha}(
  ho \| \sigma) < \infty$ , then

 $\alpha' \mapsto \tilde{D}_{\alpha'}(\rho \| \sigma)$  is strictly increasing for  $\alpha' \in (1, \alpha]$ .

quasi-convexity

Relation to the standard version  $D_{\alpha}$ , limit values

For normal states  $\rho, \sigma, \alpha > 1$ :

$$D_{2-1/lpha}(
ho\|\sigma) \leq ilde{D}_{lpha}(
ho\|\sigma) \leq D_{lpha}(
ho\|\sigma)$$

∜

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Limit values: 
$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = S(\rho \| \sigma) \\ &\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = \tilde{D}_{\infty}(\rho \| \sigma) \text{ relative max entropy} \end{split}$$

## Data processing inequality

 $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$  positive, trace-preserving. Let  $\sigma_0 = \Phi(\sigma)$ .

- $\Phi$  is a contraction  $L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ .
- if  $0 \le \rho \le \lambda \sigma$ , then also  $0 \le \Phi(\rho) \le \lambda \sigma_0$ , hence

$$\Phi(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M},\sigma)^{+}) \to L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N},\sigma_{0})^{+}$$

- this extends to a map x → y, Φ(h<sup>1/2</sup><sub>σ</sub>xh<sup>1/2</sup><sub>σ</sub>) = h<sup>1/2</sup><sub>σ₀</sub>yh<sup>1/2</sup><sub>σ₀</sub> (adjoint of) the Petz recovery channel<sup>14</sup> Φ<sub>σ</sub>
- $\Phi$  defines a contraction  $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \sigma) \to L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N}, \sigma_0)$ .

 $\Phi$  defines a contraction  $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \sigma) \to L_p(\mathcal{N}, \sigma_0)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

<sup>14</sup>D. Petz, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 1984

# Data processing inequality

For  $\alpha > 1$ , normal states  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ , positive, trace-preserving  $\Phi$ :

$$ilde{D}_{lpha}(
ho\|\sigma) \geq ilde{D}_{lpha}(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma))$$

Consequently, by the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ :

For normal states  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ ,

 $S(\rho \| \sigma) \ge S(\Phi(\rho) \| \Phi(\sigma))$ 

holds for any positive trace-preserving map  $\Phi$ .

A. Müller-Hermes, D. Reeb, Ann. H. Poincaré, 2017 (for  $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$ )

## The Araki-Masuda divergences

The Araki-Masuda  $L_p$ -norm: defined on  $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ 

• for 
$$2 \le p \le \infty$$
,  $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})^+$ ,  
 $\|\xi\|_{p,\sigma}^{AM} = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{M}^+_*, \omega(1)=1} \|\Delta_{\omega,\sigma}^{1/2-1/p}\xi\|_2$   
if  $s(\omega_{\xi}) \le s(\sigma)$  and is infinite otherwise  
• for  $1 \le p < 2$ ,  
 $\|\xi\|_{p,\sigma}^{AM} = \inf_{\omega \in \mathcal{M}^+_*, \omega(1)=1, s(\omega) \ge s(\omega_{\xi})} \|\Delta_{\omega,\sigma}^{1/2-1/p}\xi\|_2$ 

M. Berta, V. B. Scholz, and M. Tomamichel, Ann. H. Poincaré, 2018 CE + CE + CE - OQC

## The Araki-Masuda divergences

For normal states  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha \in [1/2, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ :

$$ilde{D}^{\mathcal{AM}}_{lpha}(
ho\|\sigma) = rac{2lpha}{lpha-1}\log(\|h^{1/2}_{
ho}\|^{\mathcal{AM}}_{2lpha,\sigma})$$

- ▶ can be defined using any \*-representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and any vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  representing  $\rho$
- duality relation: for 1/p + 1/q = 1

$$|\langle \eta, \xi \rangle| \le \|\eta\|_{\rho, \sigma}^{AM} \|\xi\|_{q, \sigma}^{AM}, \qquad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$$

▶ if  $1 , there is a (unique) unit vector <math>\eta_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\langle \eta, \eta_0 \rangle = \|\eta\|_{p,\sigma}^{AM} \|\eta_0\|_{q,\sigma}^{AM}$$

 $\tilde{D}^{AM}_{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ 

Araki-Masuda  $L_p$ -norms can be introduced by interpolation:

▶ For  $2 \le p \le \infty$ : a continuous embedding  $\mathcal{M} \to L_2(\mathcal{M})$ 

$$x\mapsto h_{\sigma}^{1/2}x,\qquad x\in\mathcal{M}$$

the interpolation norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\sigma}^{AM}$  in  $C_{1/p}(\mathcal{M}, L_2(\mathcal{M}))$ .

▶ For  $1 \le p \le 2$ : a continuous embedding  $L_2(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{M})$ 

$$k\mapsto kh_{\sigma}^{1/2},\qquad k\in L_2(\mathcal{M})$$

the interpolation norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\sigma}^{AM}$  in  $C_{1/p}(L_2(\mathcal{M}), L_1(\mathcal{M}))$ .

 $ilde{D}^{\mathcal{AM}}_{lpha}$  and  $ilde{D}_{lpha}$ 

For 
$$1 < \alpha < \infty$$
,  
 $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}^{AM}(\rho \| \sigma)$   
For  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ :  $h_{\sigma}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} h_{\rho}^{1/2} \in L_{2\alpha}(\mathcal{M})$  and  
 $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) := \tilde{D}_{\alpha}^{AM}(\rho \| \sigma) = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \| h_{\sigma}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} h_{\rho}^{1/2} \|_{2\alpha}$ 

Limit values:

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

# Data processing inequality for $\widetilde{D}_{lpha}$ , $lpha \in (1/2, 1)$

We have to assume that  $\Phi : L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$  is trace preserving and completely positive, with Stinespring representation:

$$\Phi^* = T^* \pi(\cdot) T$$

 $\pi$  a \*-representation, T an isometry

▶ Let  $p = 2\alpha$ , 1/p + 1/q = 1. Let  $\rho = \omega_{\eta}$  and let  $\omega := \omega_{\eta_0}$  be such that  $\langle \eta, \eta_0 \rangle = \|\eta\|_{p,\sigma}^{AM} \|\eta_0\|_{q,\sigma}^{AM}$ . Then

$$\langle \eta, \eta_0 \rangle = \langle T\eta, T\eta_0 \rangle \le \|T\eta\|_{p,\Phi(\sigma)}^{AM} \|T\eta_0\|_{q,\Phi(\sigma)}^{AM}$$

• we obtain, with  $\alpha^* = \alpha/(2\alpha - 1) > 1$ :

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{D}_lpha(
ho\|\sigma) \geq ilde{D}_lpha(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) + ilde{D}_{lpha^*}(\omega\|\sigma) - ilde{D}_{lpha^*}(\Phi(\omega)\|\Phi(\sigma)) \ &\geq ilde{D}_lpha(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) \end{aligned}$$

M. Berta, V. B. Scholz, and M. Tomamichel, Ann. H. Poincaré, 2018 ( ) + ( ) - ( )

# Characterizations of sufficient channels by $ilde{D}_{lpha}$

#### Theorem

The sandwiched Rényi relative entropies  $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$  characterize sufficiency for  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ .

AJ, Ann. H. Poincaré, 2018; AJ, arXiv:1707.00047

That is:

$$ilde{D}_lpha(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma))= ilde{D}_lpha(
ho\|\sigma)<\infty$$

implies that

$$\Psi \circ \Phi(\rho), \qquad \Psi \circ \Phi(\sigma).$$

for some channel  $\Psi$ .

Let  $\alpha > 1$ .

the assumption

$$ilde{D}_{lpha}(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma))= ilde{D}_{lpha}(
ho\|\sigma)<\infty$$

implies that  $h_{\rho} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi$  is a contraction preserving its norm.

An easy proof for α = 2: L<sub>2</sub>(M, σ) is a Hilbert space, Φ<sub>σ</sub> is the adjoint of Φ

By properties of contractions on Hilbert spaces:

$$\Phi_{\sigma} \circ \Phi(h_{
ho}) = h_{
ho}$$

(note that positivity of  $\Phi$  is enough for this)

For general  $\alpha > 1$ , use interpolation:

Let au be a normal state,  $s( au) \leq s(\sigma)$ . Put

$$h_{\tau}(z)=h_{\sigma}^{(1-z)/2}h_{\tau}^zh_{\sigma}^{(1-z)/2}\in L_1(\mathcal{M}), \quad 0\leq \operatorname{Re}(z)\leq 1,$$

continuous function, analytic in 0 < Re(z) < 1.

If the equality

$$\|\Phi(h_{\tau}(1/\alpha))\|_{lpha,\Phi(\sigma)} = \|h_{\tau}(1/\alpha)\|_{lpha,\sigma}$$

holds for some  $\alpha > 1$ , then it holds for all  $\alpha > 1$ .

By assumptions  $h_{
ho}=th_{ au}(1/lpha)$  for some state au, t>0 - normalization, and we have

$$\|\Phi(h_{\tau}(1/\alpha)\|_{\alpha,\Phi(\sigma)} = \|h_{\tau}(1/\alpha)\|_{\alpha,\sigma}.$$

Then the equality holds also for  $\alpha = 2$ , so that

 $\Phi$  is sufficient with respect to  $\{\omega, \sigma\}$ , where  $h_\omega = sh_\tau(1/2) = sh_\sigma^{1/4}h_\tau^{1/2}h_\sigma^{1/4}, \qquad s>0.$ 

#### Ok, but this is not what we wanted to prove!

Assume  $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$ , replace  $h_{\rho}$  by the density operator  $\rho$ .

There is a decomposition  $U\sigma U^* = \bigoplus_n \lambda_n \sigma_n^L \otimes \sigma_n^R$  such that  $\Phi$  is sufficient with respect to  $\{\rho, \sigma\}$  iff

$$U\rho U^* = \bigoplus_n \mu_n \rho_n^L \otimes \sigma_n^R$$

But we have such a decomposition for  $\omega = s\sigma^{1/4}\tau^{1/2}\sigma^{1/4}$ , hence also for  $\rho = t\sigma^{1/2\beta}\tau^{1/\alpha}\sigma^{1/2\beta}$ , which imlies the result.

(In the general case, we use the characterization by conditional expectations.)

# The case $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$

Let  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ . Then

$$h_{\sigma}^{rac{1-lpha}{2lpha}}h_{
ho}^{1/2}=h_{ au}^{1/2lpha}u\in L_{2lpha}(\mathcal{M})$$

for some  $\tau \in \mathcal{M}^+_*$  and partial isometry  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ .

We recall the inequality

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{D}_lpha(
ho\|\sigma) \geq ilde{D}_lpha(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) + ilde{D}_{lpha^*}(\omega\|\sigma) - ilde{D}_{lpha^*}(\Phi(\omega)\|\Phi(\sigma)) \ &\geq ilde{D}_lpha(\Phi(
ho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

for  $\alpha^* > 1$  and some state  $\omega$ .

• Actually, we have 
$$h_{\omega} = th_{\tau}(1/\alpha^*)$$
.

The case  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ 

By assumptions, we obtain

$$ilde{D}_{lpha^*}(\omega\|\sigma) = ilde{D}_{lpha^*}(\Phi(\omega)\|\Phi(\sigma))$$

- since α<sup>\*</sup> > 1, this implies that Φ is sufficient with respect to {ω, σ}.
- use the decompositions (conditional expectations) as before.