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1. Multiple hypothesis testing for quantum channels

Assume that a channel $\Phi: B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ is known to be one of $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{m}$, with prior probabilities $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$. The task is to determine which one, with the greatest probability of success.
A most general scheme for this task is given by a triple $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}, \rho, M\right)$, where $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ is a (pure) input state and $M=\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}\right\}$ is a POVM on $B\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$. The value

$$
\operatorname{Tr} M_{i}\left(\Phi_{j} \otimes i d\right)(\rho)
$$

is interpreted as the probability that $\Phi_{i}$ is chosen when the true channel is $\Phi_{j}$. The average success probability is then

$$
P(M, \rho)=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left[M_{i}\left(\Phi_{i} \otimes i d\right)(\rho)\right]
$$

The task is to maximize $P(M, \rho)$ over all input states $\rho$ and POVMs $M$. It had been observed $[6,8]$ that entangled input states give greater success probability in some cases, however, there are situations when the maximally entangled input state is not optimal. It is therefore important to find out whether an optimal scheme with a given input state exists. A related problem was studied in [7].

## 2. Process POVMs and SDP formulation of the

 problemAlternatively, channel measurements are described by process POVMs [9] (or testers [1], see also [3]), which is a collection $F=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right\}$ of positive operators in $B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H})$, such that $\sum_{i} F_{i}=I \otimes \sigma$ for some state $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. The average success probability is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(F)=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left[C\left(\Phi_{i}\right) F_{i}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(\Phi)$ is the Choi operator of $\Phi$, [2]. Using this form, our task becomes a problem of semidefinite programming:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \operatorname{Tr}[C F] \\
& F \in B\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes K \otimes H\right) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}[F]=\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K}) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I \otimes X_{i}\right) F\right]=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \\
& F \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $C=\sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i| \otimes C\left(\Phi_{i}\right)$ and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ is any basis of the (real) linear subspace

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\left\{X=X^{*} \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}), \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} X=0\right\} .
$$

## 3. Optimality conditions

Using standard results of SDP, we obtain the following:
Theorem 1. Let $\hat{F}$ be a process POVM. Then $\hat{F}$ is optimal if and only if there is some $\lambda_{0}>0$ and some channel $\Psi$, such that for $i=1, \ldots, m$,

$$
\lambda_{i} C\left(\Phi_{i}\right) \leq \lambda_{0} C(\Psi)
$$

and
$\left(\lambda_{0} C(\Psi)-\lambda_{i} C\left(\Phi_{i}\right)\right) \hat{F}_{i}=0$.
Moreover, in this case, the optimal success probability is
$\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{F} C]=\min _{\Phi} \min \left\{t>0, \lambda_{i} C\left(\Phi_{i}\right) \leq t C(\Phi), \forall i\right\}=\lambda_{0}$,
the minimum is taken over all channels $B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$.
We can characterize optimal measurement schemes as follows:
Corollary 1. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ be such that $\sigma:=\operatorname{Tr}_{1} \rho$ is invertible. Then $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, M)$ is optimal if and only if

$$
Z:=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} M_{j}\left(\Phi_{j} \otimes i d\right)(\rho) \geq \lambda_{i}\left(\Phi_{i} \otimes i d\right)(\rho), \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} Z \propto \sigma$.
Similar results were obtained in [5], in a broader context and by different methods. Apart from the last condition on the partial trace of $Z$, these are optimality conditions for a POVM in MHT for the ensemble $\left\{\lambda_{i},\left(\Phi_{i} \otimes i d\right)(\rho)\right\}$, [4].
4. Optimal discrimination with a maximally entangled input state

Let $m=2$. Using the known characterization of optimal POVMs in this case, we obtain a simple condition for existence of an optimal scheme with a maximally entangled input state:
Corollary 2. Let $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}: B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ be channels, $\lambda \in$ $(0,1)$. An optimal scheme $(\mathcal{H}, \rho, M)$ with a maximally entangled input state $\rho$ exists if and only if the Choi operators satisfy
$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|\lambda C\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-(1-\lambda) C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right|=a I, \quad$ (MEI)
here $|X|=\left(X^{*} X\right)^{1 / 2}$. Moreover, in this case, the optimal success probability is $P_{\text {opt }}=\frac{a+1}{2}$.
We apply this last condition to check the existence of optimal procedures with maximally entangled input states for discrimination of some types of channels.

## 5. Examples

For two channels $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$, we put

$$
\phi_{\lambda}=\lambda \Phi_{1}-(1-\lambda) \Phi_{2}
$$

For a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\Phi_{U}: B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}), A \mapsto U^{*} A U$.

### 5.1 Covariant channels

Let $G$ be a group and let $g \mapsto U_{g} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}), g \mapsto V_{g} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ be unitary representations. Let $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\Phi_{i}\left(U_{g}^{*} A U_{g}\right)=V_{g}^{*} \Phi_{i}(A) V_{g}, \quad g \in G, A \in B(\mathcal{H}), i=1,2
$$

## Then

$\bar{U}_{g}^{*} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|C\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)\right| \bar{U}_{g}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|C\left(\phi_{\lambda} \circ \Phi_{U_{g}}\right)\right|=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|C\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)\right|$.
Assume that the representation $g \mapsto U_{g}$ is irreducible. Then (MEI) holds for $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$ and any $\lambda \in(0,1)$.

### 5.2 Unitary channels

Let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and put $W:=V^{*} U$. Then (MEI) holds for $\Phi_{U}, \Phi_{V}$ and some $\lambda \in(0,1)$ if and only if
$\overline{\operatorname{Tr}[W]} W+\operatorname{Tr}[W] W^{*} \propto I$.
Equivalently, either $\operatorname{Tr} W=0$ or $W$ has at most two distinct eigenvalues, both of the same multiplicity.

### 5.3 Qubit channels

Let $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}: B\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \rightarrow B\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ be qubit channels. Then (MEI) holds if and only if
$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|C\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)+\left(\phi_{\lambda}(I)+(1-2 \lambda) I\right) \otimes I\right|=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|C\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)\right|$.
In particular, if there is some $c>0$ such that

$$
\Phi_{2}(I)=c \Phi_{1}(I)+(1-c) I
$$

then (MEI) holds with $\lambda=1 /(1+c)$. If both channels are unital, it holds for any $\lambda \in(0,1)$.
On the other hand, let $\Phi_{1}=i d$ and let $\Phi_{2}=\Psi_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the channel

$$
\Psi_{\alpha, \beta}: \sum_{i=0}^{3} w_{i} \sigma_{i} \mapsto w_{0} I+\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\mathbf{t}+T \mathbf{w})_{i} \sigma_{i}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}=I, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{3}$ are the Pauli matrices and
$\mathbf{w}=\left(\begin{array}{l}w_{1} \\ w_{2} \\ w_{3}\end{array}\right), \mathbf{t}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ \sin \alpha \sin \beta\end{array}\right), T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\cos \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \alpha \cos \beta\end{array}\right)$
The graph below shows values of the function

$$
d(\alpha, \beta):=\left\|I-\nu\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left|C\left(\phi_{1 / 2}\right)\right|\right)\right\|_{1},
$$

$\nu(A)=(2 / \operatorname{Tr}[A]) A$, the axes correspond to $\alpha=l \pi, \beta=k \pi$.


This suggests that (MEI) holds only if either $\alpha=\pi$ or $\beta=\pi$, that is if $\Phi_{2}$ is unital (joint work with Tomasz Tylec).

### 5.4 Measurements

For a POVM $M=M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$, we denote $\Phi_{M}: B(\mathcal{H}) \ni A \mapsto \sum \operatorname{Tr}\left[A M_{i}\right]|i\rangle\langle i| \in B\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$.

This is a qc-channel. If $\Phi_{1}=\Phi_{M}$ and $\Phi_{2}=\Phi_{N}$, (MEI) reads

$$
\sum_{i}\left|\lambda M_{i}-(1-\lambda) N_{i}\right| \propto I
$$

Let $M$ and $N$ be von Neumann measurements,

$$
M_{i}=\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{i}\right|, \quad N_{i}=\left|\eta_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\eta_{i}\right|
$$

for two ONB's $\left|\xi_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left|\xi_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left|\eta_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left|\eta_{n}\right\rangle$. Let $\lambda=1 / 2$. Then (MEI) becomes

$$
\sum_{i} c_{i} P_{\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}}=2 a I, \quad a=n^{-1} \sum_{j} c_{i}
$$

where $c_{i}=\sqrt{1-\left|\left\langle\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}$ and $P_{\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}}$ is the projection onto $\operatorname{span}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$. This implies $c_{i} \neq 0$, for all $i$. An equivalent condition is that the matrix

$$
(2 a I-C)^{-1 / 2}(W-\operatorname{diag}(W)) C^{-1 / 2}
$$

is unitary, where $W=\left(\left\langle\xi_{i}, \eta_{j}\right\rangle\right), C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$. Some results are listed below.

- For $n=2$ (MEI) always holds (for any $\lambda$ ), since $\Phi_{M}$ and $\Phi_{N}$ are unital qubit channels.
- For $n=3$, (MEI) holds if and only if there is a cyclic permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2,3\}$, such that $\eta_{i}=\xi_{\sigma(i)}$.
- Let the bases be mutually unbiased (MUB), then

$$
W=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} H
$$

for a Hadamard matrix $H$. We may assume that $H_{i i}=1$ for all $i$. Then (MEI) holds iff $H+H^{*}=2 I$.

- For MUB, $n=4$, (MEI) holds iff $H=D^{*} H_{0} D$, where

$$
H_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $D$ is some diagonal unitary.
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