# Distinguishing quantum channels by restricted testers

#### Anna Jenčová Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Symposium KCIK, Sopot, May 23-25, 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Discrimination of quantum states

 $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{C}), D_n$  be the set of states (= density matrices),  $ho_0, 
ho_1 \in D_n$ 

- $\rho$  unknown,  $\rho \in \{\rho_0, \rho_1\}$  with some a priori probablity  $(\lambda, 1 \lambda)$ . Decide which is  $\rho$ .
- tests: E ∈ M<sub>n</sub>, 0 ≤ E ≤ I effects, Tr ρE probability of choosing ρ<sub>0</sub>
- optimality: minimize the average error probability

$$P_{\lambda}(E) := \lambda \operatorname{Tr} (I - E) \rho_0 + (1 - \lambda) \operatorname{Tr} E \rho_1$$

It is well known that

$$\min_{0 \le E \le I} P_{\lambda}(E) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\lambda \rho_0 - (1 - \lambda)\rho_1\|_1)$$

(Helstrom, 1969)

# Discrimination of quantum channels

 $\mathcal{C}_{m,n} = \{ \text{channels } M_n \rightarrow M_m \}, \ \Phi_0, \Phi_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}.$ 

- $\Phi$  unknown,  $\Phi \in \{\Phi_0, \Phi_1\}$ , with probability  $(\lambda, 1 \lambda)$
- tests: triples  $(I, \rho, E)$ ,  $\rho \in D_{nI}$ , E an effect in  $M_{mI}$ ,



 $\operatorname{Tr} E(\Phi \otimes id_l)(\rho)$  - probability of choosing  $\Phi_0$ .

minimal average error probability

$$\min_{(l,\rho,E)} P_{\lambda}(E) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\lambda \Phi_0 - (1 - \lambda) \Phi_1\|_{\diamond})$$

 $\|\Phi\|_{\diamond} = \max_{I} \max_{\rho \in D_{nl}} \|(\Phi \otimes id_{I})(\rho)\|_{1} = \max_{\rho \in D_{nn}} \|\Phi \otimes id_{n}(\rho)\|_{1}$ 

(Kitaev 1997)

#### Positive cones, bases and order units

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a real vector space, dim $(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^*$  its dual, with duality  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

- $P \subset V$  a positive cone: closed convex cone,  $P \cap -P = \{0\}$ , V = P P
- ordered vector space:  $x \leq_P y$  if  $y x \in P$
- $P^* \subset \mathcal{V}^*$  the dual cone:  $\{f \in \mathcal{V}^*, \langle f, p \rangle \ge 0, p \in P\}$ ,
- $B \subset P$  a base: for  $p \in P$ ,  $p \neq 0$ ,  $\exists$  unique  $s > 0, b \in B$ : p = sb
- $e \in P$  an order unit: for  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\exists r > 0$ :  $x \leq_P re$ ,

There is a 1-1 correspondence between bases of P and order units  $e \in P^*$ :

$$B = \{ p \in P, \ \langle e, p 
angle = 1 \} =: B_e$$

#### Order unit norms and base norms

Let  $e \in P^*$  be an order unit,  $B = B_e$  the corresponding base of P. Let  $[0, e]_{P^*} = \{f \in \mathcal{V}^*, 0 \leq_{P^*} f \leq_{P^*} e\}.$ 

• order unit norm:  $f \in \mathcal{V}^*$ :

$$\|f\|_e := \inf\{\lambda > 0, -\lambda e \leq_{P^*} f \leq_{P^*} \lambda e\}$$

• its dual is the base norm:  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ :

$$\|v\|_{B} := \inf\{t + s, v = tb_{1} - sb_{2}, s, t \ge 0, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B\}$$
$$= \sup_{f \in [0, e]_{P^{*}}} \langle 2f - e, v \rangle$$

#### Base norms and discrimination

Formally, we can consider the discrimination problem in the base B: let  $b_0, b_1 \in B$ 

- $b \in B$  unknown,  $b \in \{b_0, b_1\}$
- tests: affine maps  $B \to [0,1] \equiv t \in [0,e]_{P^*}$ ,  $\langle t,b \rangle$  is the probability of choosing  $b_0$
- Given  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , the average error probability is

$$\mathcal{P}^{B}_{\lambda}(t) = \lambda - \langle t, \lambda b_{0} - (1-\lambda)b_{1} 
angle$$

It follows that

$$\min_{t \in [0,e]_{P^*}} P^B_{\lambda}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\lambda b_0 - (1 - \lambda)b_1\|_B)$$

#### Discrimination of states

Let 
$$\mathcal{V} = M_n^h = \{x \in M_n, x = x^*\}$$
, then

V<sup>\*</sup> ≡ V, with duality ⟨a, b⟩ = Tr ab, (but we formally distinguish V - space of states and V<sup>\*</sup> - space of effects)

• 
$$P = M_n^+ = P^*$$
 is a (self-dual) positive cone,

e = I<sub>n</sub> is an order unit in (V\*, M<sup>+</sup><sub>n</sub>), B<sub>e</sub> = D<sub>n</sub> the corresponding base and

$$\|\cdot\|_{e} = \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_{D_{n}} = \|\cdot\|_{1}$$

• tests: elements in [0, 1] - effects

#### Discrimination of states by restricted tests

Suppose the set of tests is restricted: let  $\mathcal{E} \subset M_n^+$  be such that

(i) 
$$\mathcal{E}$$
 is closed, convex and  $int(\mathcal{E}) \neq \emptyset$ 

(ii)  $0 \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  implies  $I - E \in \mathcal{E}$ 

We will call  $\mathcal E$  an admissible set of effects. Then

- $P_{\mathcal{E}}^* := \cup_{t>0} t\mathcal{E}$  is a positive cone in  $\mathcal{V}^*$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{E}}^* \subseteq M_n^+$ .
- I is an order unit in (V<sup>\*</sup>, P<sup>\*</sup><sub>E</sub>)
- $\mathcal{E} \subseteq [0, I]_{P_{\mathcal{E}}^*} \subseteq [0, I]$
- $||x||_{(\mathcal{E})} := \sup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \operatorname{Tr} (2E I)x$  defines a norm in  $M_n^h$ .

We have

$$\min_{E\in\mathcal{E}} P_{\lambda}(E) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \|\lambda\rho_0 - (1 - \lambda)\rho_1\|_{(\mathcal{E})})$$

(Matthews, Werner, Winter 2009; Reeb, Kastoryano, Wolf 2011)

Discrimination of states by restricted tests

Let  $P_{\mathcal{E}} = (P_{\mathcal{E}}^*)^* \subset \mathcal{V}$  and

$$D_{\mathcal{E}} := \{ \rho \in P_{\mathcal{E}}, \operatorname{Tr} \rho = 1 \}$$

is a base of  $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ , corresponding to *I*. Note that  $[0, I]_{P_{\mathcal{E}}^*}$  is a admissible set of effects and

$$\|x\|_{(\mathcal{E})} \le \|x\|_{([0,I]_{P_{\mathcal{E}}^*})} = \|x\|_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} \le \|x\|_1$$

Since  $M_n^+ \subseteq P_{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in D_n \subseteq D_{\mathcal{E}}$  elements of a larger base. (Reeb, Kastoryano, Wolf 2011)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Examples

Take  $M_{mn}^{h} = M_{m}^{h} \otimes M_{n}^{h}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_{sep} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{K} E_{i} \otimes F_{i}, E_{i} \in M_{m}^{+}, F_{i} \in M_{n}^{+}, \sum_{i=1}^{L} E_{i} \otimes F_{i} = I, L \leq K\}$   $\mathcal{E}_{LOCC} = \text{ implemented by LOCC measurements}$  $\mathcal{E}_{LOCC} \leftarrow = \{\sum_{i} E_{i} \otimes F_{i}, 0 \leq E_{i} \leq I_{m}, 0 \leq F_{i}, \sum_{i} F_{i} \leq I_{n}\}$ 

Then

$$P^*_{\mathcal{E}_{LOCC}\leftarrow}=P^*_{\mathcal{E}_{LOCC}}=P^*_{\mathcal{E}_{sep}}=Sep:=M^+_m\otimes M^+_n$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{LOCC} \leftarrow \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_{LOCC} \subsetneq [0, I]_{Sep} = \mathcal{E}_{Sep}$$

# Hermiticity-preserving linear maps

Let 
$$\mathcal{L}_{m,n} := \{ \Phi : M_n \to M_m \text{ linear}, \Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*, a \in M_n \}.$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^* \equiv M_{mn}^h \equiv M_m^h \otimes M_n^h,$$

with duality defined by

$$\langle \Phi, a \otimes b \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} a^t \Phi(b), \quad a \in M_m, \ b \in M_n$$

Note that

$$\langle \Phi, A \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} C(\Phi)^t A, \qquad A \in M^h_{mn},$$

where  $C: \mathcal{L}_{m,n} \to M_{mn}^h$  is the Choi isomorphism:

$$C(\Phi) = (\Phi \otimes id_n)(E_n), \quad E_n = |e_n\rangle\langle e_n|, \ |e_n\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n |i_n \otimes i_n\rangle$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → のへぐ

# Positive cones in $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}$

Some natural positive cones:

- $\mathcal{P}_1 = \text{positive maps.}$
- $\mathcal{CP} = \text{completely positive (cp) maps}$
- $\mathcal{P}_k = k$ -positive maps.
- $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathsf{entanglement}$  breaking maps
- $S_k$  = partially entanglement breaking maps

We have

$$S_1 \subseteq S_k \subseteq S_{m \wedge n} = CP = P_{m \wedge n} \subseteq P_k \subseteq P_1$$

# Mapping cones

Mapping cones: Let  $\mathcal{P}(m, n) \subset \mathcal{L}_{m,n}$  be postive cones such that if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}(m, n)$  then  $\psi_1 \circ \Phi \circ \psi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(j, l)$  for all cp maps  $\psi_1 : M_m \to M_j, \ \psi_2 : M_l \to M_n$ .

(Störmer 1986)

- $t \circ \mathcal{P}(m, n) \circ t$  are again mapping cones.
- All previous examples are mapping cones, invariant under this transformation.

#### Dual cones

•  $\mathcal{P}_k^* = S_k \equiv$  elements of Schmidt rank  $\leq k$ :

$$S_k := \{\sum_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|, |\psi_i\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k |\xi_j^i \otimes \eta_j^i\rangle, \xi_j^i \in \mathbb{C}^m, \eta_j^i \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

• 
$$\mathcal{P}_1^* = S_1 = Sep$$

- $\mathcal{CP}^* = M_{mn}^+$
- $S_k^* = k BP \ k$ -block-positive operators
- $S_1^* = BP$  block-positive operators

(Skowronek, Störmer, Zyczkowski 2009)

# Choi isomorphism on positive cones

• 
$$C(\mathcal{CP}) = M_{mn}^+ = CP$$

• 
$$C(\mathcal{P}_k) = k - BP$$

• 
$$C(\mathcal{S}_k) = S_k$$

(Skowronek, Störmer, Zyczkowski 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{P}(m, n)$  are mapping cones. Then

•  $P(m,n) = \mathcal{P}^*(m,n)$  or  $C(\mathcal{P}(m,n))$  satisfy:

$$(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(P(n,k)) = P(m,l)$$

for all cp maps  $\psi_1 : M_n \to M_m, \ \psi_2 : M_k \to M_l$ . We will call this again mapping cones.

•  $P(m, n)^t$  are mapping cones as well.

#### Quantum channels as a base of a cone

Fix the cone  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{CP}$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{ \Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}, \operatorname{Tr} \Phi(a) = c \operatorname{Tr} a, \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R} \}.$ 

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a subspace in  $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}$ , generated by the set  $\mathcal{C}_{m,n}$  of channels
- $\mathcal{V}^* \equiv M^h_{mn}|_{\mathcal{V}^\perp}$ , where

$$\mathcal{V}^{\perp} = \{ X \in M_{mn}^h, \langle \Phi, X \rangle = 0, \Phi \in \mathcal{V} \}$$

- $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{CP}$  is a positive cone in  $\mathcal{V}$
- $\mathcal{Q}^* = \{A + \mathcal{V}^\perp, A \in M_{mn}^+\}$
- $\mathcal{C}_{m,n}$  is a base of  $\mathcal{Q}$
- The corresponding order unit is

$$e = \frac{1}{n}I_{mn} + \mathcal{V}^{\perp} = \{I_m \otimes \sigma, \sigma \in D_n\}$$

# Discrimination of quantum channels

Let  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}$ , unknown  $\Phi \in {\{\Phi_0, \Phi_1\}}$ , prior  $(\lambda, 1 - \lambda)$ .

- tests: t ∈ [0, e]<sub>Q\*</sub>
- minimum average error probability:

$$\min_{\mathbf{t}\in[0,e]_{\mathcal{Q}^*}} P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \|\lambda\Phi_0 - (1-\lambda)\Phi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}_{m,n}})$$

•  $\mathbf{t} \in [0, e]_{Q^*}$  iff there is some  $\sigma \in D_n$  and  $0 \le T \le I_m \otimes \sigma$  such that

$$\langle \Phi, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle \Phi, T \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} C(\Phi)^t T, \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{V}$$

• We note that  $(T, I \otimes \sigma - T)$  is a quantum 1-tester, or PPOVM

(Chiribella, D'Ariano, Perinotti 2008, Ziman 2008)

• such a tester T is not unique for **t**.

### Quantum 1-testers

#### Theorem (Ziman , Chiribella et al.)

 $T \in M_{mn}$  is a quantum 1-tester if and only if there is a triple  $(I, \rho, E)$ , where  $\rho \in D_{nl}$  and E an effect in  $M_{ml}$ , such that

$$\langle \Phi, T \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} E(\Phi \otimes id_I)(\rho), \quad \Phi \text{ a channel}$$

- Note that there are many triples corresponding to the same tester.
- A test t ∈ [0, e]<sub>Q\*</sub> can be seen as an equivalence class of triples (*l*, ρ, *E*).

It follows that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_{m,n}} = \|\cdot\|_{\diamond}$ .

# **Optimal triples**

A triple  $(I, \rho, E)$  is optimal if

$$P_{\lambda}(I,\rho,E) = \min_{(k,\rho',F)} P_{\lambda}(k,\rho',F)$$

Let  $\tau_i = (\Phi_i \otimes id_k)(\rho)$ , i = 0, 1. Then

- $\tau_i \in D_{mk}$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M_m} \tau_i = \operatorname{Tr}_{M_n} \rho$ , i = 0, 1.
- If  $(I, \rho, E)$  is optimal, then E must be an optimal test for  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \lambda$ :

$$E = \operatorname{supp}(\lambda \tau_0 - (1 - \lambda)\tau_1)_+$$

# **Optimal triples**

Let  $(I, \rho, E)$  be a triple such that  $\sigma := \operatorname{Tr}_{M_n}(\rho)$  is of rank *n*. Let  $\tau_i = (\Phi_i \otimes id_k)(\rho)$ , i = 0, 1. Then  $(I, \rho, E)$  is an optimal triple if and only if

- 1.  $E = \operatorname{supp}(\lambda \tau_0 (1 \lambda)\tau_1)_+$
- 2.  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M_m} |\lambda \tau_0 (1 \lambda) \tau_1|$  is a multiple of  $\sigma$ .

In particular, there exists an optimal triple with  $\rho$  a maximally entangled state if and only if

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{M_m} |\lambda C(\Phi_0) - (1 - \lambda) C(\Phi_1)| = c I_n,$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Restricted tests for channels

An admissible family of tests: Let  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$  be such that (i)  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed, convex and  $int(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$ (ii)  $0 \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}$  implies  $e - \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}$ (iii) if  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $(k, \rho, E) \in \mathbf{t}$ , then



defines a test in  $\mathcal{T}$  for all channels  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$ .

We will consider

- tests with the effect E restricted to some admissible set of effects;
- tests with the input state  $\rho$  restricted to some positive cone (mapping cone).

# Restricted tests for channels

Let  $\mathcal{P}(m, n)$  be mapping cones,

$$\mathcal{CP}(m,n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(m,n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(m,n).$$
Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}, \operatorname{Tr} \Phi(a) = c \operatorname{Tr} a\}$ , put  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P}$ .  
•  $\mathcal{Q}^* = \{A + \mathcal{V}^{\perp}, A \in \mathcal{P}^*\}$   
•  $e = \frac{1}{n}I + \mathcal{V}^{\perp}$  is an order unit in  $(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{Q}^*)$   
•  $B_e = \{\text{trace preserving elements in } \mathcal{P}\} =: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} \supseteq \mathcal{C}_{m,n}$   
Put  $\mathcal{T} = [0, e]_{\mathcal{Q}^*}: \Phi_0, \Phi_1 \text{ considered as elements of } \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}.$  Then  
 $\min_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \|\lambda \Phi_0 - (1 - \lambda)\Phi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

### Restrictions on effects

#### Theorem Let $\tilde{P} = (\mathcal{P}^*)^t$ . Then $\mathcal{T} = \{(I, \rho, E) \in \mathbf{t}, E \in [0, I]_{\tilde{P}(m, I)}\}.$

More generally, let  $\mathcal{E}(m, l)$  be an admissible set of effects, for all m, l, such that

$$(\phi \otimes \psi)(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}, \ \phi, \psi$$
 cp subunital maps

Then

- $P(m, n) := P_{\mathcal{E}(m, n)}$  are mapping cones
- $\|A\|_{(\mathcal{E})} \geq \|(\phi \otimes \psi)(A)\|_{(\mathcal{E})}$ ,  $\phi, \psi$  subunital cp maps

#### Restriction on effects

Let 
$$\mathcal{T} = \{\mathbf{t}, (l, \rho, E) \in \mathbf{t} \text{ with } E \in \mathcal{E}(m, l)\}$$
. Then  

$$\min_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}} P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \|\lambda \Phi_0 - (1 - \lambda)\Phi_1\|_{CP \to (\mathcal{E})})$$

where

$$\|\Phi\|_{CP\to(\mathcal{E})} = \max_{\rho\in D_{nn}} \|(\Phi\otimes id_n)(\rho)\|_{(\mathcal{E}(m,n))}$$

•  $\|\phi \circ \Phi \circ \psi\|_{CP \to (\mathcal{E})} \le \|\Phi\|_{CP \to (\mathcal{E})}, \phi, \psi$  channels • Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(m, n) = C^{-1}(P(m, n)^t)$ , then  $\|\Phi\|_{CP \to (\mathcal{E})} \le \|\Phi\|_{CP \to ([0, I]_P)} = \max_{\rho \in D_{nn}} \|(\Phi \otimes id_n)(\rho)\|_{D_P} = \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Restriction of input states

Let P(m, n) be mapping cones. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\mathbf{t}, \ (I, \rho, E) \in \mathbf{t}, \text{ with } \rho \in P(n, I)\}$$

Then

- $\mathcal{T}$  is an admissible family of tests.
- $\min_{\mathbf{t}\in\mathcal{T}} P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \|\lambda\Phi_0 (1 \lambda)\Phi_1\|_{P \to CP})$ , where

$$\|\Phi\|_{P\to CP} = \max_{\rho\in D_{nn}\cap P} \|(\Phi\otimes id)(\rho)\|_1$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Restriction on input states by restriction on effects

The same can be obtained by a restriction on effects: Let  $(I, \rho, E) \in \mathbf{t}$ ,  $\rho \in P(n, I)$ . Then we can find  $(k, \rho', F) \in \mathbf{t}$ , such that

$$F \in \mathcal{E}_{P}(m,k) := \{ (id_{m} \otimes \psi)(E), \\ t \circ \psi \circ t \in P(k,l), \psi(l_{l}) \leq l_{k}, E \in [0, l_{ml}], l \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

*E<sub>P</sub>* is an admissible set of effects and (φ ⊗ ψ)(*E<sub>P</sub>*) ⊂ *E<sub>P</sub>* for φ, ψ subunital cp maps

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

•  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}$  if and only if  $(k, \rho, E) \in \mathbf{t}$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{E}_P(m, k)$ .  $\|\Phi\|_{P \to CP} = \|\Phi\|_{CP \to (\mathcal{E}_P)} \le \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{P}}}$ 

# Examples

Let P = Sep

- restrict  $\rho \in Sep$ :  $\|\Phi\|_{Sep \to CP} = \max_{\rho \in D_n} \|\Phi(\rho)\|_1$ ,
- this is the same as restrict  $E \in \mathcal{E}_{LOCC^{\leftarrow}}$
- restrict *E* ∈ *E*<sub>Sep</sub>: view Φ<sub>0</sub>, Φ<sub>1</sub> as positive trace preserving maps
- $E \in \mathcal{E}_{LOCC}$ : there are channels such that  $\|\Phi_0 - \Phi_1\|_{CP \to (\mathcal{E}_{LOCC})} > \|\Phi_0 - \Phi_1\|_{Sep \to CP}$ (Matthews, Piani, Watrous, 2010)

Let  $P = S_k$ .

- restrict  $\rho \in S_k$ :  $\|\Phi\|_{S_k \to CP} = \max_{\rho \in D_{nk}} \|(\Phi \otimes id_k)(\rho)\|_1$ , (Johnston, Kribs, Paulsen, Pereira, 2010)
- this is the same as using triples  $(kl, \rho, E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{E}_{LOCC \leftarrow (mk;l)}$
- restrict  $E \in [0, I]_{S_k}$ :  $\Phi_0$ ,  $\Phi_1$  *k*-positive trace preserving maps
- $\|\Phi_0 \Phi_1\|_{CP \to S_k} > \|\Phi\|_{S_k \to CP}$  for some channels  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1$ .

# Conclusion

- Base norms appear in discrimination problems also for quantum channels (and for more general quantum protocols).
- Importance of mapping cones also in this context.
- For restricted tests, it is enough to consider restrictions only on effects and not on input states (but not other way round).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <